

$\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$

WHERE

WHEN

9 – 11 APRIL 2018

ADELAIDE, AUSTRALIA

CLICK HERE TO KNOW MORE



Leveraging on Contemporary Drilling and Blasting Methods – A Case Study of the MTR Railway Project in Hong Kong

Presented by Ir. Dr. Lawrence T. H. LEE MIMMM MICE MHKIE CEng FGS MCIArb Senior Construction Engineer MTR Corporation Limited



Background

- Blasting generates vibrations and many restraints on Tunnel and Open Blasting
- The peak particle velocity (PPV) has been observed to be a good indicator of damage to structures and it is generally considered that structures in good condition can readily tolerate a peak particle velocity of 50mm/s without any risk of damage
- However, it is possible that there will be amplification between the peak particle velocity of the ground motion of a maximum 25mm/s which is usually adopted to prevent damage to buildings
- Different sensitive receivers have its own allowable vibration limits due to their own nature or Particular Specification in specific contract. However most of the specifications are not up-to-date and some of the limit are un-reasonable high so that the performance of blasting was hindered



Utility	Installation	Maximum Allowable Peak Particle Velocity , mm/s
Gas:	All	25
Hong Kong & China Gas Company		
Electricity:	Power Stations	11
China Light and Power Company,	Sub-stations (major)	13
Hong Kong Electric Company	Sub-stations (minor)	25
	Underground Cable Joints	13
	Underground Cables & Pylon Foundations	25
Water:	Non Water Retaining Structure &	25
Water Supplies Dept.,	Water Mains	
Hong Kong Government	Water Retaining Structures	13
Drains & Sewers:	All	25
Drainage Services Dept.,		
Hong Kong Government		
Telephone:	All	25
Hong Kong Telephone Company		
Estates/Structure/	All	25
Schools/Private Properties		
Computers	All	5
Fresh concrete:		
Less than 2 days old		5
2 and 8 days old		25
More than 8 days old		50 ³

Background

- The pull length or the bench height for a tunnel blasting and open blasting was limited by the allowable vibration limits on those sensitive receivers and therefore limit use on the maximum instantaneous charge-weight (MIC) of explosives
- By optimize the blast parameters such as powder factor and pull length, new innovation and a detail study on the prediction of allowable vibration in order to achieve a better blasting performance



Optimization on Blast Parameters Using ANN and GA Modelling

Powder Factor (PF)

•PF is the amount of explosives required to break a unit measure of rock

•Since rock is usually measured in kilogram, there are several possible combinations that can express the PF

•A simple equation below shows the PF

$\mathbf{PF} = \mathbf{We} / \mathbf{V}$

Where	PF	= Powder Factor
	We	= Total Weight of Explosives used in Blast (kg)
	V	= Total Volume of Rock Generated in Blast (m ³)



Optimization on Blast Parameters Using ANN and GA Modelling

Pull Length

- •The advanced length for each cycle of a tunnel blast
- •The pull length of each cycle will mainly govern by two factors:
 - Geology of the tunnel, and
 - Charge weight



Pull Length of Tunnel and Bench Height of an Opencast



Tunnel Blasting

Opencast Blasting



Tunnel Blasting / Bench Blasting





Methodology





Blast-induced Vibration Prediction

Ground Vibration

The magnitude of vibration induced by blasting is generally dependent on the maximum instantaneous charge (MIC) per delay, distance from the blast, geology / ground conditions and the type of blast undertaken (the degree of confinement of the blast). The induced vibration, in terms of PPV

$$PPV = K \ (\frac{D}{\sqrt{W}})^a$$

Where:	PPV	= peak particle velocity (mm/s)
	К	= rock transmission constant
	D	= distance between blast and measurement point (m)
	W	= maximum charge weight per delay interval (kg/delay)
	а	= attenuation exponent



Site Description and Data Measurement





Measurement of Radical Distance



12

ANN Modelling on Blast-Induced Vibration



MTR

What is Artificial Neural Network

- Back propagation (BP) in Werbos PJ. (1974) is a traditional training algorithm used for the MLP model. It feeds back the prediction errors from the output layer to the input layer and the weights of the links between the neurons are adjusted according to the BP algorithm.
- Upon completion of the weight adjustments, a new prediction is carried out to evaluate a new prediction error for the next epoch of weight adjustments. These procedures are repeated numerous times until a satisfactory prediction result is achieved. In this study, the early-stop validation approach is adopted to monitor and stop the BP training



Artificial Neural Network Model

- Back Propagation Network with Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP) and 3 layers of neurons
- Input Parameters:
 - *MIC*, *Distance*, *Face area*, *Volume of rock*, *Powder factor*, *Number of holes*, *pull length*
- Output Parameters:
 - *PPV*
- Root Mean Squared Error (RMS) and Multivariate Regression Analysis (MVRA) on checking the performance of ANN



Architecture of MLP Model





Advantage on the use of ANN Modelling

- The advantage on employing of ANN model in which employ data-driven, self adaptive methods and can be used to perform non-linear modelling without the need of prior knowledge bout the relationship between input and output variables.
- The commonly used supervised neural network is MLP which has been applied to a variety of problems, and especially to forecasting because of its inherent and superior input-output mapping capability.



Optimization on Blast Parameters Using ANN and GA Modelling

Optimization two blast parameters: **Powder Factor** and **Pull Length**





Optimization on Blast Parameters Using ANN and GA Modelling

- Powder factor and Pull Length demonstrates both geometry and energy approach of the blast design. They are linked together which means the increase of Powder Factor will directly lead to proportional increase of the Pull Length provided that the blasthole diameter remains unchanged
- It is no doubt and reasonable to select both Powder Factor and Pull Length for optimization due to the cost-production effectiveness on underground tunnel blasting



Relationship between Powder Factor, Pull Length and Vibration

Two stages on the Optimization:

- Stage 1 To optimize the Powder Factor with the allowable vibration prediction limit of 25mm/s
- Stage 2 To optimize both Powder Factor and Pull Length with the allowable vibration prediction limit of 25mm/s



Data Collection – MTR SIL(E)



Contract 904 – Ap Lei Chau Tunnel



22





Network Training

The Early-stop Validation Approach Stops the Back Propagation Training



